



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,748	03/12/2001	Kwok Wah Law	SC01011AH	8436

7590

07/20/2004

MOTOROLA INC
MAIL DROP PL02
7700 WEST PARKER LANE
AUSTIN, TX 78729

EXAMINER

LEWIS, MICHAEL A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2655

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/803,748

Applicant(s)

LAW ET AL.

Examiner

Michael A Lewis

Art Unit

2655

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 9 - 23, 27 & 28 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 -8, 24 -26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 9 – 23 & 27 – 28 are allowable subject matter over prior art.
2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding the claims 9 – 23 & 27 - 28, the combination of Konstantinides (IEEE 1070-9908/94) and Hong (US6094637) teach prestoring cosine coefficients. However, the combination do not teach the claim language referring to prestoring the cosine coefficients $C(k-1,i)$ and $C(k-2,i)$ for each column of the matrix; prestoring an adjustment value $\cos(E(i))$ for each column of the matrix; and calculating the cosine coefficients for the remaining locations in the matrix using the prestored coefficients and the prestored adjustment values in accordance with the equation $C(k, i) = 2 \cos(E(i)) * C(k-1,i) - C(k-2,i)$ or $C(k+1, i) = 2 \cos(E(i)) * C(k, i) - C(k-1,i)$.

Furthermore, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of the prior art of record to modify the recited claim limitations above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 1 - 8 & 24 - 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Konstantinides (IEEE 1070-9908/94) and in view of Hong (US6094637).

In regards to claims 1, 7, 24 & 26, Konstantinides discloses a digital filter for sub-band synthesis consisting of a processor with memory and a method of performing an IDCT (Inverse Discrete Cosine Transform) process that generates time domain samples from frequency domain

samples using prestored cosine coefficients, comprising: prestoring only the cosine coefficients that satisfy $\cos(\pi \cdot (i/64))$ for $i=0$ to 32 (Page 26, Col 1, Sect II) *[Konstantinides describes deriving 64 samples that are derived from 32 input audio samples after some appropriate windowing and shift and add operations. Note that $i=0$ to 31 will give 32 coefficients that are standard].*

Konstantinides do not disclose calculating cosine coefficients using the prestored coefficients by changing a sign of a corresponding symmetrical one of the stored coefficients, respectively. However, Hong discloses a sign vector that gives positive or negative according to whether the associated time index is even or odd. A decoding process for a MPEG audio subband can use symmetry of filter coefficients to reduce the number of multiplications required for an audio subband.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify Konstantinides with the use of a sign vector to increase the capacity of the stored coefficients as taught by Hong since it would help improved the efficiency of the decoding process to reduce the number of multiplications required for an audio subband.

In regards to claims 2, 5 & 25, Konstantinides discloses the step of: generating sixty-four time domain samples ($V_{sub.i}$) from thirty-two

frequency domain samples ($S_{sub.k}$) according to the Eqn 7, $V_i = \cos \left(\left(\frac{64}{i+16} \right) (2k+1) \right) \times S_k$ for $k = 0$ to 31 & $i=0$ to 63 , using only the prestored cosine coefficients and the calculated cosine coefficients (Page 27, Eqn. 13).

In regards to claims 3, 6 & 8, Konstantinides discloses the sequence of time domain samples are from an MPEG compliant audio sub-band (Page 27, Section III).

In regards to claim 4, Konstantinides discloses a digital filter for sub-band synthesis, a method of performing an IDCT (Inverse Discrete Cosine Transform) process that generates time domain samples from frequency domain samples using prestored cosine coefficients, comprising: prestoring only the cosine coefficients that satisfy $\cos(.pi.*(i/64))$ for $i=0$ to 63 (Page 27, Eqn. 11a – Eqn. 12).

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sato

U.S. Patent (5675703)

Konstantinides

U.S. Patent (5508949)

Art Unit: 2655

Han et al.

U.S. Patent (5812979)

Bae et al

U.S. Patent (6421695)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A Lewis whose telephone number is 703 305-8730. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 am – 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (703)305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lewis A Michael
Examiner
Art Unit 2655

Mal

W. R. YOUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/803,748

Art Unit: 2655

Page 7

5/25/2004